



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





### Role of Small Oligomers on the Amyloidogenic Aggregation Free-Energy Landscape

### Xianglan He, Jason T. Giurleo and David S. Talaga\*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 610 Taylor Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

Received 19 May 2009; received in revised form 7 October 2009; accepted 12 October 2009 Available online 27 October 2009 We combine atomic-force-microscopy particle-size-distribution measurements with earlier measurements on 1-anilino-8-naphthalene sulfonate, thioflavin T, and dynamic light scattering to develop a quantitative kinetic model for the aggregation of  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin into amyloid. We directly compare our simulations to the population distributions provided by dynamic light scattering and atomic force microscopy. We combine species in the simulation according to structural type for comparison with fluorescence fingerprint results. The kinetic model of amyloidogenesis leads to an aggregation free-energy landscape. We define the roles of and propose a classification scheme for different oligomeric species based on their location in the aggregation free-energy landscape. We relate the different types of oligomers to the amyloid cascade hypothesis and the toxic oligomer hypothesis for amyloid-related diseases. We discuss existing kinetic mechanisms in terms of the different types of oligomers. We provide a possible resolution to the toxic oligomer–amyloid coincidence.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Edited by K. Kuwajima

# *Keywords:* atomic force microscopy; fluorescence; dynamic light scattering; protein aggregation; amyloid

Proposed mechanisms of amyloid growth remain idiosyncratic to the precursor conditions and

Introduction

idiosyncratic to the precursor, conditions, and methods used. Our aim is to directly observe oligomeric intermediates and to develop a detailed molecular model of amyloidogenesis. Such a model allows the construction of an amyloidogenic aggregation free-energy landscape and enables a more universal approach to evaluating the roles of different amyloid-related species.

Amyloid deposition is observed in more than 20 diseases, including Alzheimer's disease, Creutzfeldt–Jakob's disease, and Parkinson's disease. Each amyloid-related disease has a particular precursor protein or peptide that converts from its soluble native state into insoluble cross- $\beta$ -amyloid assemblies.<sup>1–4</sup> These diseases typically show a

symptom-free latency period that is significantly shortened in the case of certain single amino acid familial mutations of the relevant precursor<sup>5–8</sup> or gene triplication.<sup>9</sup> *In vitro* aggregation studies tend to show a correlation between the amyloidogenic properties of different mutants and disease severity.<sup>10–13</sup> However, how this protein accumulation participates in the etiology of many of these diseases is still not fully understood.<sup>1,3,14</sup> We discuss the role of oligomers in amyloid-related diseases based on two hypothesis that differ in the identity of the pathogenic species.

The amyloid cascade hypothesis suggests that amyloid is the major causative agent in amyloidrelated diseases.<sup>15</sup> Formation of amyloid is often explained by a nucleation-dependent kinetic mechanism. Once a critical nucleus is formed, the protein in question rapidly aggregates into amyloid fibrils and plaques. Massive fibril deposits can interfere with normal organ function. Amyloid lesions can induce an inflammatory response.<sup>16,17</sup> Mature amyloid fibrils have also been found to induce cell death *in vitro*.<sup>18,19</sup> However, other studies have shown amyloid fibrils to be relatively innocuous, leaving doubt as to their significance.<sup>20–22</sup>

The toxic oligomer hypothesis has emerged to explain the poor correlation between amyloid load and disease progression. Evidence indicating that

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail address: talaga@rutgers.edu.

Abbreviations used: DLS, dynamic light scattering; A $\beta$ , amyloid  $\beta$  peptide; AFM, atomic force microscopy; ThT, thioflavin T; NCC, nucleated conformational conversion;  $\beta$ -LGA,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin A; ANS, 1-anilino-8-naphthalene sulfonate; APTES, aminopropyltetratheoxysilane; 2D, two-dimensional; LENP, Lumry–Eyring nucleated polymerization.

soluble oligomeric intermediates have significant cytotoxicity is mounting.<sup>14,23–31</sup> The toxic oligomer hypothesis states that the inherent toxicity of small oligomers of the amyloidogenic protein causes cellular dysfunction and that the presence of amyloid in amyloid-related diseases is coincidental, not causative. Cytotoxicity has been observed for oligomers of both disease-related<sup>32–37</sup> and non-disease-related<sup>38</sup> proteins, suggesting that misfolded aggregates, in general, are pathological and calling into question the specific nature of the proteins associated with each disease.

Toxicity assays often involve cells and conditions different from those specific to the progression of amyloid-related diseases. Oligomers for toxicity assays are usually prepared under extreme conditions that are not physiological or amyloidogenic. The stability of such small oligomers is difficult to assay under cell culture conditions. Toxicity studies typically do not differentiate between the types of oligomers present.<sup>27,32,34,35</sup> Characterization of the oligomers varies widely and often involves lowresolution and/or unreliable methods.<sup>39</sup> As a result, it is not clear which species are actually present in the different studies. Where they have been characterized, oligomer toxicity appears to depend on size and structure.<sup>33,36,37</sup> The thermodynamic and kinetic properties of different oligomeric species will dictate their stability and physiological relevance. Therefore, an understanding of these properties is vital.

The toxic oligomer hypothesis does not account for the relationship between the toxic oligomer and amyloid; the proteins in amyloid diseases all form amyloid *in vivo*. Moreover, single amino acid mutations that increase the rate of disease progression can also increase the rate of amyloid formation *in vitro*.<sup>10,40,41</sup> Based on the toxic oligomer hypothesis, the formation of toxic oligomer and amyloid fibril is a coincidence that spans many diseases.

The ubiquitous presence of amyloid in amyloidrelated diseases is often rationalized by invoking a protective role for amyloid fibril formation as a sink for toxic oligomers.<sup>14,42</sup> However, no cellular machinery for producing amyloid from misfolded aggregates has been identified. Indeed, cellular action is not required for amyloid formation, as all amyloid-related disease precursors readily form amyloid *in vitro*. Nevertheless, the conditions that are required to form amyloid *in vitro* are usually not at all physiological. In particular, rapid agitation in the presence of air–water interfaces is usually required *in vitro*, suggesting that strong perturbation may be necessary for similar aggregation rates to occur *in vivo*.

In both hypotheses, small aggregates play important but different roles. In the amyloid cascade hypothesis, the small aggregates are an intermediate state between the functional form and amyloid,<sup>43–49</sup> above a critical size, they seed fibril formation.<sup>50–52</sup> Thus, oligomers that can seed amyloid formation are at the heart of the autocatalytic cycle. In the toxic oligomer hypothesis, the pathology is more direct. The aggregates could trigger the unfolded protein response,<sup>53</sup> increase cell membrane permeability,<sup>34,35,37,54,55</sup> interfere with long-term potentiation,<sup>31,32</sup> or otherwise produce cytotoxicity.<sup>56</sup>

Distinguishing the type and the role of oligomers is therefore important for understanding amyloidrelated disease mechanisms. Toxic oligomers and the critical nucleus for amyloidosis have different free-energy landscape requirements. The free energy of the critical nucleus is at a maximum, whereas toxicity would require accumulation of appreciable populations, implying a free-energy minimum. Thus, the relationship between these species can be defined in terms of their relative positions on the aggregation free-energy landscape.

Multiple techniques have observed sigmoidal kinetics for amyloid assembly. There is a lag phase, during which no amyloid aggregates are detected. This is followed by a growth phase, in which proteins rapidly convert into amyloid, with a fast increase in aggregate size and  $\beta$ -sheet-rich structure. The lag phase can be shortened or removed by the addition of preformed fibril seeds. Kinetic results are extremely sensitive to the details of sample handling. Preexisting seeds,<sup>52</sup> surfaces,<sup>57–59</sup> air–water interfaces,<sup>60,61</sup> and agitation<sup>62,63</sup> can all strongly perturb kinetics. These results suggest that amyloidogenesis is kinetically controlled.

Several methods have been commonly used to characterize amyloid intermediates. Static light scattering and dynamic light scattering (DLS) provide size distributions,<sup>46,51,64,65</sup> but size and morphological heterogeneity prevent resolution of individual species.<sup>66</sup> Photo-induced cross-linking, followed by SDS-PAGE, has been used to study the size distribution of low-molecular-weight amyloid  $\beta$  peptide (A $\beta$ ) oligomers, but is limited to differentiating small species. Cross-linking can skew the overall size distribution to a smaller aggregate number.<sup>45,64</sup> Atomic force microscopy (AFM) during amyloidogenic incubation shows the presence of different-sized globular particles,<sup>33,67–74</sup> worm-like beaded protofibrils,<sup>72,75</sup> and rare annular structures.<sup>76</sup>

Structural and spectroscopic methods are often used in parallel to correlate aggregation and conformational states. An increased  $\beta$ -sheet structure was detected by Raman spectroscopy for  $\alpha$ -synuclein amyloid formation in tandem with AFM imaging of the aggregate assembly.<sup>47</sup> Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was combined with AFM and electron microscopy to study human prion peptide PrP82-146 aggregation under different conditions.<sup>49</sup> Circular dichroism, intrinsic fluorescence,<sup>77</sup> and thioflavin T (ThT) luminescence<sup>66</sup> can also monitor structural changes. Intermediates may also be detected by oligomer-specific antibodies.<sup>78</sup>

Proposed mechanisms of amyloidogenesis vary greatly in spite of common kinetic features. A nucleation-dependent polymerization model for prion protein and  $A\beta$  was proposed by a onedimensional analogy to protein crystallization. The critical nucleus forms from and elongates by the association of monomers with a specific structure.<sup>50</sup> Off-pathway species have also been added.<sup>79</sup> Acidinduced A $\beta$  assembly was modeled by micellefacilitated formation of nuclei. Only the moments of fibril size distribution were compared with DLS data.<sup>51,80</sup> In all cases, no direct evidence for intermediates was offered, and only the accumulation of amyloid was modeled.

A nucleated conformational conversion (NCC) model was proposed for Sup35. This model was based on the detection of an oligomeric lag-phase intermediate that mediated nucleation and elongation. Monomers first associate with a fluid micellelike oligomer, which then rearranges to form an energetically unfavorable amyloidogenic nucleus. The nucleus grows by inducing conformational rearrangement in the oligomers.<sup>52</sup> A Lumry-Eyring step has been added to the nucleated polymerization model to account for preequilibrated monomer unfolding and to increase fitting function flexibility. Again, averaged quantities were treated.<sup>81</sup> Phosphoglyceratekinase assembly kinetics has been modeled by the Smoluchowski coagulation theory. Critical oligomers form by collision of smaller intermediates. Filaments grow linearly by the fusion of critical oligomers.<sup>46</sup>

Some studies find that amyloid assembly deviates from the nucleation-dependent mechanism. Transthyretin aggregation followed nucleationindependent downhill polymerization that was insensitive to seeding.<sup>65</sup> The ThT assay for amyloid may have registered a false positive, as no fibrils were observed.

Understanding the molecular amyloid assembly mechanism is critical for developing a rational strategy to prevent aggregation cascade events. A comprehensive molecular model of amyloidogenesis will have many features that are missing from current models. A molecular mechanism of amyloidogenesis must define the reactivity and roles of oligomeric intermediates in order to evaluate their contribution to disease progress hypotheses. This level of detail requires measuring both the conformational changes and the distribution of aggregate sizes through the aggregation processes. Intermediate species must be explicitly measured and included. The aggregates before and after nucleation should be distinguished. Linkage must be established between aggregation events and conformational changes. For example, if a hydrophobic core is present, the point at which it is disrupted in favor of an aggregated form should be identified. Different types of aggregation events and conformational changes should have different barriers. The critical nucleus must be identified for nucleated processes. The mechanism should allow prediction of sequence and condition determinants of amyloid formation propensity. Representation of the amyloidogenic aggregation mechanism by a free-energy landscape allows a unified and intuitive presentation of these ideas.

The present study treats the bovine milk lipocalin,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin A ( $\beta$ -LGA). Extensive studies of the stability, folding, and aggregation of  $\beta$ -LGA have been driven by basic science and the dairy and food processing industries.<sup>82</sup> Its biological role, beyond providing a source of protein in milk, is unknown. It may enhance the solubility of fat and fat-soluble nutrients by binding to the calyx.<sup>83,84</sup> Hydrophobic and amphiphilic fluorophores bound to the  $\beta$ -LGA calyx and other hydrophobic sites can be distinguished using fluorescence lifetime measurements.<sup>66,85,86</sup>  $\beta$ -LGA forms amyloid under various conditions.<sup>66,73,87–90</sup>

To follow the assembly of  $\beta$ -LGA into amyloid, we used time-resolved fluorescence of 1-anilino-8-naphthalene sulfonate (ANS) and ThT to monitor conformational changes and used DLS and AFM to monitor the degree of aggregation.<sup>66</sup> Several ANS binding locations and *β*-LGA calyx were modified and disrupted during amyloid assembly.<sup>66</sup> A threestage qualitative mechanism was proposed for  $\beta$ -LGA amyloidogenesis, with two different classes of oligomer preceding amyloid protofibril formation. During the early lag phase, denatured monomers associated to form the loosely bounded oligomer AggA, in which the hydrophobic calyx was partially disrupted. During the late lag phase, AggA converted into a globular intermediate AggB, in which the hydrophobic calyx was completely disrupted. Conversion of AggB into amyloid gave rise to abundant protofibrils in the growth phase. ANS and ThT luminescence for monomer, AggA, AggB, and amyloid showed distinct lifetime distribution patterns.<sup>66</sup> The present study develops a quantitative kinetic model of the amyloid ogenic aggregation of  $\beta$ -LGA by combining AFM oligomer size distributions with ANS, ThT, and DLS measurements.<sup>66</sup> The kinetic model is based on oligomeric intermediates that were directly observed. An amyloidogenic aggregation free-energy surface is constructed from the details of the kinetic model.

#### Results

## Aggregate morphology shows two growth pathways

On each of the 32 days of incubation, we imaged samples deposited on aminopropyltetratheoxysilane (APTES)-modified mica using tapping-mode AFM. The images showed five phases of aggregate growth.

#### Early lag phase (days 0–9)

Incubation days 0 through 9 showed an amorphous protein layer, with no sign of stable welldefined particles on AFM images. However, DLS measurements showed a dramatic decrease in monomer population and an accumulation of dimers and tetramers during this period (Fig. 1).<sup>66</sup> Accompanying this increase in particle size, a timeresolved fluorescence lifetime analysis of intercalated ANS showed a change in accessibility to the calyx



Fig. 1. The evolution of the correlation decay time distribution monitored by continuous DLS during the first 4.7 days of incubation. We related the particle decay time to the spherical hydrodynamic radius using the Stokes–Einstein relation. The characteristic density of the partially unfolded monomer determined from the assignment of a urea titration DLS experiment at 5 M was used to scale the oligomer sizes. The brown, green, and blue lines correspond to the correlation decay times of globular monomer, dimer, and tetramer, respectively. The correlation decay time distributions were renormalized to the maximum intensity at each incubation time point. The peak shifted from monomer at the beginning of incubation to dimer by day 2 and to tetramer by day 4. Based on this data, a sequential assembly pathwaymonomer  $\rightarrow$  dimer  $\rightarrow$  tetramer  $(A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_4)$ —is proposed for AggA aggregation.

site, indicative of a conformation change. Moreover, the change persisted upon dilution under native conditions.<sup>66</sup> The AFM images suggest that surface dissociation into the amorphous protein layer prevented detection of early-lag-phase oligomers. Thus, the early-lag-phase oligomers are lower in free energy than folded-state monomers and are higher in free energy than surface-dissociated monomers. We designate this class of oligomers as AggA and the period from day 0 to day 9, during which they accumulated, as the early lag phase.

#### Late lag phase (days 10–21)

After day 10, individual globular particles of different sizes were imaged by AFM as in Fig. 2a, indicating the formation of oligomers that were stable with respect to dissociation on the APTES surface. The AFM image coverage by resolvable particles varied and was typically about 5%, providing a good balance between particle differentiation and statistics. From day 10 to day 21, most particles imaged by AFM were globular, with heights between  $\sim 1$  and  $\sim 2$  nm above the amorphous protein layer, which was also observed in the early lag phase (Fig. 2a and b). According to our derived relationship between the height and the number of monomers in globular particles (Fig. 3), this range of heights corresponds to globular oligomers containing four to eight monomers, with the majority of species being tetrameric, as shown in Fig. 2a and e and Fig. 2b and f. Particles with heights between  $\sim 2$  and  $\sim 4$  nm were observed less often. This range of heights corresponds to globular oligomers with up to 16 monomers.

The wing that appears in the DLS correlation decay time distribution during this period is consistent with a growth of particle to sizes between 8 and 40 monomers (Fig. 4). This size increase coincided with loss of the structured calyx site as detected by ANS fluorescence,<sup>66</sup> suggesting a structural rearrangement of the monomers within the oligomer. This set of observations led us to designate this larger and structurally distinct class of oligomers as AggB. The formation and growth of AggB preceding the conversion into amyloid protofibrils define the late lag phase (i.e., days 10–21). Short fibrillar species could occasionally be detected (Fig. 2b), foretelling the beginning of the growth phase.

#### Early growth phase (days 22-28)

By day 22, it became common to observe short rod-like fibrillar structures of different lengths coexisting with globular particles (Fig. 2c). Most fibrillar particles were approximately 3–5 nm thick. We assigned this thinnest class of rod-like fibrillar particles as protofibrils. Protofibrils present at this stage gave a specific ThT lifetime distribution that was different from that of mature fibrils.<sup>66</sup> Thicker fibrillar species did appear at this stage, but were very rare. Protofibril population increased rapidly in the 9 days following day 22, consistent with designating this stage of assembly as the early growth phase.

Overall, the size of globular AggB increased during this phase. The low resolution of 5-µm images used for analysis in this stage hindered the precise determination of the population for the smallest (~1 nm) species. However, there was a clear increase in the population of particles ~2 to ~4.5 nm high, corresponding to species ranging from octamer ( $B_8$ ) to 24-mer ( $B_{24}$ ). A small population of particles larger than 4.5 nm, which were absent in the lag phase, was detected during the early growth phase.

Two trends of self-assembly emerged in the height–length two-dimensional (2D) histograms (Fig. 2g). One trend continued to follow the globular growth pattern from the late lag phase, resulting in a relatively small population of round particles with heights ranging from  $\sim 4$  to  $\sim 8$  nm. The second trend led to rod-like protofibrils.

#### Late growth phase (day 29 and beyond)

Late-growth-phase AFM images (Fig. 2d) showed globular particles, as well as a dramatic increase in protofibril number and length. Analysis of more than 200 of these protofibrils gave an averaged height of  $\sim$  3.8 nm and a width of  $\sim$  11 nm. The protofibrils were straight and unbranched, and usually had a small variation in height along their length. Some longer protofibrils showed periodic variation in height. The longest protofibrils that we detected were  $\sim$  150 nm. Assuming that the protofibrils on the surface have the same density as the fully folded monomer, we estimated about 250



**Fig. 2.** Particles were imaged with AFM from the late lag phase through the late growth phase. The left panels are typical AFM images taken during (a) late lag phase (day 11), (b) transition to growth phase (day 15), (c) early growth phase (day 23), and (d) late growth phase (day 31). Particles were identified, measured, and assembled into the 2D histograms of the observed height and length that appear on the right (e–h). The population distribution was normalized by most populated species on that day. (e) Day 11: 533 particles from five 2  $\mu$ m ×2  $\mu$ m images; small globular particles dominated. (f) Day 15: 2471 particles from ten 2  $\mu$ m ×2  $\mu$ m images; larger globular particles appeared. (g) Day 23: 801 particles from two 5  $\mu$ m ×5  $\mu$ m images; short protofibrils appeared. (h) Day 31: 1179 globular particles and 291 short protofibrils from four 5  $\mu$ m ×5  $\mu$ m images; many protofibrils appeared. The circled numbers on the right of the 2D histogram indicate the estimated number of monomers in globular particles of corresponding height, referring to Fig. 3. The growth phase showed two growth trends (arrows in (g) and (f)): globular assembly and fibrillar elongation. The small particles with a height at around 1 nm were more reliably resolved in the lag-phase 2  $\mu$ m ×2  $\mu$ m images than in the growth-phase 5  $\mu$ m ×5  $\mu$ m images. As a result, the population of such particles is underestimated in the region indicated by blue circles in (g) and (h).

monomers for the longest protofibrils. The protofibril length distribution showed contributions across all lengths from 20 to 150 nm, suggesting a relatively small growth unit. However, a modest dip in population from 40 to 60 nm was also observed.

The bifurcation of the two aggregation trends became quite clear during this stage (Fig. 2h). The juncture at which globular particles appear to choose between the two modes of assembly occurs at 3.8 nm, roughly corresponding to  $B_{16}$ . Along the globular

growth pathway, after the bifurcation point, further growth of AggB resulted in a small population of AggB larger than that of hexadecamers. The population of globular particles between ~3 and ~4 nm, corresponding to  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{16}$ , increased and became comparable to that of  $B_8$ . The population of AggB larger than the bifurcation point was clearly lower than that of smaller AggB (Fig. 2h).

AFM images after more than 60 days of incubation were dominated by mature fibrils, indicating that



**Fig. 3.** Observed height–real length  $(2r_g)$  profile of globular  $\beta$ -LGA aggregates on the surface as measured by AFM. Globular particles of a given size will be observed with the same height in different images, but with different lengths because of varying tip sizes. After the removal of the tip effect using Eq. (10), with different tip radii for each image, globular particles from 50 images taken through the incubation gave a unified observed height–real length profile. An empirical relationship between  $H_g$  and  $r_g$  was derived according to this profile. With known height and radius, the volume of globular particles was calculated with Eq. (12). The circled numbers indicate the number of monomers in globular particles with corresponding observed height, calculated based on particle volume and density on the surface.

much of the protein had been incorporated into mature fibrils, as shown in Fig. 5. The fibril profiles showed different heights and height periodicities along them. The mature fibrils could be several



Fig. 4. The evolution of the correlation decay time distribution monitored by continuous DLS during the first 18 days of incubation. The brown, green, blue, purple, and yellow lines correspond to the correlation decay times of globular monomer, dimer, tetramer, hexadecamer, and 40-mer, respectively. The intensity was normalized by the maximum intensity of each incubation time point. The tetramer remained the dominant peak from day 4 to day 18. After day 10, a wing appeared to longer decay times, indicating aggregate growth up to approximately 40mers. Because spherical particles contribute to homodynedetected DLS in proportion to the square of their volumes, the relative population of aggregates contained in this wing was even smaller than their small amplitude with respect to what the tetramer peak might suggest. The appearance of this wing coincided with AFM detection of globular particles on the surface. These observations, put together, suggest that the growth of a conformationally distinct species, AggB, defines the late lag phase.



**Fig. 5.** Mature amyloid fibrils detected by AFM after 65 days of incubation. During late-stage incubation, mature fibrils of different heights were observed in large numbers. They coexisted with small globular particles and large amorphous particles on the surface. These fibrils were unbranched and curved and could be several micrometers long.

micrometers long. Our ThT luminescence measurements showed a lifetime distribution pattern noticeably different from that observed during the growth phase, which was dominated by protofibrils.<sup>66</sup> Globular particles and short protofibrils were also observed to coexist with mature fibrils. Some very thick amorphous particles were observed, but were absent from early stages.

#### Mechanisms from kinetic data

#### Approach to simulating kinetics

We include all classes of species, as defined by analyses of AFM, DLS, and luminescence lifetime distribution.<sup>66</sup> We calculate the evolution of the concentrations of all kinetically active species without first simplifying to moment analysis or other averaged quantities. To simplify the optimization of the individual kinetic rate constants, we treat bimolecular reactions with activated collision theory, assigning similar barriers to similar reactions. This allows us to define the rate coefficients in terms of energetics. We included only the minimum number of intermediate species and reaction steps necessary to reconstruct the major features of the observed data. In general, an infinite number of more complicated mechanisms could also fit the data. We parsimoniously reject such mechanisms. By including both forward and reverse rates in our analysis, we are able to estimate freeenergy changes at each step of the aggregation.

#### Early-lag-phase reactions

The main feature of experimental DLS data for early lag phase was a shift from the monomer peak at time 0 to dimer by day 2 and to tetramer by day 4 (Fig. 1). After day 4, the distribution pattern did not change until the start of the late lag phase. This evidence shows that the species presented during this early lag phase (AggA) is composed of monomeric (M), dimeric, and tetrameric ( $A_4$ ) forms. Moreover, monomers aggregated into tetramers through dimers and resulted in an accumulation of dimers and tetramers.

Nonreducing SDS-PAGE showed that oxidative aggregation essentially halted at the dimer stage, with a substantially reduced monomer still present (data not shown). This result suggested that tetramers form from oxidative dimers ( $A_2^{Ox}$ ) and non-oxidative dimers ( $A_2$ ) or monomers (2*M*).

To account for our observations and to fit the DLS data, we propose a three-step mechanism to create  $A_4$ , as shown in Scheme 1. Initially, weakly associated dimers  $A_2$  formed from monomers.  $A_2^{OX}$  formed by structural reorganization and oxidation of  $A_2$ . By day 4, both types of dimers had aggregated to form  $A_4$ .

The simulation result for the proposed scheme is presented in Fig. 6, with rate coefficients presented in Table 1. The rate coefficients were optimized by minimizing the Kullback-Leibler distance as in Eq. (18). This simulation successfully caught the sequential shift of intensity peak in DLS from monomer to dimer to tetramer. A close comparison of the simulation to experimental data reveals a difference mainly in the width of distribution at each time point. However, without an adequate noise model for the DLS simulation, a strict comparison between simulation and experimental DLS was inappropriate (ill-formed noise was addressed in the simulation, and we did not know how particles of different sizes actually contributed to dispersed decay time distribution in our DLS measurement).

The broadening to longer decay times might be caused by a small amount of AggA larger than  $A_4$  or might be due to the limits of the Gaussian model that we used for the dispersion of the DLS distribution. We found that inclusion of AggA species larger than tetramers did not significantly improve the simulation of the data set. To be thorough, we also included possible pathways— $2(A_2)$  to  $A_4$ , and  $2(A_2^{Ox})$  to  $A_4$  along with formation of heterotetramers. We found that the main features of the data were reproduced with or without the added complexity. Thus, these minor pathways were excluded from the final mechanism *lex parsimoniae*.

$$M + M \xrightarrow[ka_{2-}]{ka_{2-}} A_{2}$$
$$A_{2} \xrightarrow[koa_{-}]{ka_{2-}} A_{2}^{0x}$$
$$A_{2} + A_{2}^{0x} \xrightarrow[ka_{2+}]{ka_{2-}} A_{4}$$

**Scheme 1.** Three step mechanism for the early-lag phase. M,  $A_4$ ,  $A_2^{\text{Ox}}$  and  $A_2$  represent monomer, tetramer, oxidative dimers and weakly associated dimers, respectively. This scheme was used to simulate the evolution of DLS decay time distributions represented in Fig. 6 with the optimized rate coefficients in Table 1.



**Fig. 6.** The evolution of the DLS correlation decay time distribution constructed from a kinetic simulation of the first 4.7 days of aggregation according to Scheme 1. Species population  $a_j$  was converted into DLS decay time distribution ( $I_s(\tau,t)$ ) using Eq. (15). Correlation–time distributions were renormalized to the maximum intensity at each incubation time point to facilitate comparison with experimental data. Rate coefficients in Scheme 1 were optimized to match the constructed distribution to experimental data in Fig. 1. The brown, green, and blue lines correspond to the correlation decay times of globular monomer, dimer, and tetramer, respectively. Simulation based on Scheme 1 captured the earliest aggregation events: the DLS decay time distribution shifted from monomer to dimer, then to tetramer by day 4.

All the reactions in this stage were determined to be energetically favorable. Monomers converted readily into more stable oligomers ( $A_2$ ,  $A_2^{Ox}$ , and  $A_4$ ) under experimental conditions during the early lag phase.

#### Transition to growth phase

After day 10, a new class of globular aggregates (AggB) began to appear and to grow in size along a globular growth pathway throughout the incubation, as detected with AFM and DLS. We propose that  $A_4$ , formed in the early lag phase, converted into  $B_4$  through a conformational change that eliminated the calyx binding site for ANS. This change in structural state was detected in our ANS and ThT measurements as a change in the lifetime distribution pattern.<sup>66</sup> It also enabled AggB to be stably absorbed to a modified mica surface and to be detected with AFM.

We simulated AggB aggregation along this globular growth pathway by appending Scheme 2 to Scheme 1, extending the aggregation mechanism through the late lag phase before protofibril formation. Converting the AFM height–length 2D histogram (Fig. 2e–h) into oligomer size indicates that AggB sizes ranged from about tetramer ( $B_4$ ) to 80-

 Table 1. Optimized rate coefficients for early-lag-phase simulation under Scheme 1

$ka_{1+}$ [( $\mu$ M day) <sup>-1</sup> ]	<i>ka</i> 2– [day <sup>-1</sup> ]	<i>koa</i> + [day <sup>-1</sup> ]	$koa_{-}$ $[day^{-1}]$	$ka_{2+}$ [( $\mu$ Mday) <sup>-1</sup> ]	$ka_{4-}$ $[day^{-1}]$
$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ \Delta G^{\circ} \leq -2.0 \end{array}$	0.05ª kJ/mol	$\begin{array}{c} 0.28\\ \Delta G^{\circ} \leq -4 \end{array}$	0.05ª I.4 kJ/mol	$\begin{array}{c} 0.066\\ \Delta G^{\circ}\!\leq\!-6.7\end{array}$	0.005 <sup>a</sup> kJ/mol

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The three reverse rate coefficients are upper limits; whereas fit gives results that are effectively 0, simulations were insensitive to rates below these values. The free-energy change  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is calculated based on the forward and reverse rate coefficients of each step, using Eq. (24).

$$A_{4} \xleftarrow{kab_{+}}{kab_{-}} B_{4}$$

$$B_{4} + A_{4} \xleftarrow{kb_{4+}}{kb_{8-}} B_{8}$$

$$B_{8} + A_{4} \xleftarrow{kb_{8+}}{kb_{12-}} B_{12}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$B_{76} + A_{4} \xleftarrow{kb_{76+}}{kb_{80-}} B_{80}$$

**Scheme 2.** The mechanism for the late-lag phase begins with the conformational conversion of  $A_4$  to  $B_4$ , a species lacking a stable calyx (AggB).  $B_4$  continues to grow to  $B_{80}$  by the addition of  $A_4$ . Together with Scheme 1, this scheme was used to generate the evolution of DLS decay time distribution represented in Fig. 7 with the optimized rate coefficients in Table 2.

mer ( $B_{80}$ ) during the 32 days of incubation. The globular particle aggregation number histogram on day 31 appeared to be multimodal, with peaks separated by four monomer units. Moreover, an analysis of the effects of the size and type of species responsible for increasing AggB size found that a tetrameric unit of assembly ( $A_4$ ) best fits the data.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of the DLS correlation decay time distribution during incubation for the first 18 days from DLS measurement. We estimated our rate coefficients in Scheme 2 by matching the simulated decay time distribution with these data. We scaled the forward rate coefficients for the association steps  $kb_+$  with Eq. (19), assuming the same energy barrier  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  for all these steps. This assumption implies that the interactions between all AggB and  $A_4$  are the same, reducing the number of  $kb_+$  from 19 to 1. The same reverse rate coefficient was used for all the disassociation reactions here.

In the experimental data, a wing apart from the predominant tetrameric peak appeared at around day 10 (Fig. 4). This wing corresponded to AggB sized between tetramers and 40-mers. The small intensity of this wing indicated that these bigger AggB were relatively small in population compared with the tetrameric forms  $A_4$  and  $B_4$ . The addition of Scheme 2 to the model successfully reproduced the evolution of the DLS wing arising from AggB growth. Moreover, it reproduced the population relationship between AggA and AggB implied by the intensity difference of their contributions to the decay time distribution (Fig. 7). The small discrepancy between the shape of the simulated DLS decay time distribution and the shape of the experimental DLS decay time distribution is again attributed to the lack of a noise model for DLS. Because of the great heterogeneity of the system at this stage, multiple species contribute to the edge of the AggB wing. This situation prevents a precise determination of the maximum particle size in the experimental data. However, we will show below that these larger ( $\sim$ 40-mers) AggB species are beyond the point at which the aggregates convert into protofibrils and



**Fig. 7.** DLS correlation decay time evolution constructed based on species population from kinetic simulations for the first 18 days of incubation. We included AggB aggregation before protofibril formation as in Scheme 2.  $a_j$  was converted into DLS decay time distribution ( $I_s(\tau,t)$ ) using Eq. (15). The correlation–time distributions were normalized to the maximum intensity at each incubation time point. The brown, green, blue, purple, and yellow lines correspond to the correlation decay times of globular monomer, dimer, tetramer, hexadecamer, and 40-mer, respectively. This simulation, including AggB aggregation with the successfully captured assembly event, occurred during the late lag phase, as shown by the wing that appeared at around day 10.

have minimal influence on the overall kinetic behavior. Optimized rate coefficients and barrier parameters appear in Table 2. According to these parameters, the formation and growth of AggB were energetically unfavorable under incubation conditions.

We also tested whether  $B_4$  can be used as the assembly unit for AggB growth. The amount of AggB in both cases was determined by the formation of  $B_4$  from  $A_4$ . The rate coefficients required to match the experimental data implied that AggB aggregation was energetically favorable. This result precludes a nucleation barrier to protofibril formation from AggB. These observations and the characterization of the formation of amyloid as a nucleated process lead us to discount this mechanism. However, from the experiments, we cannot conclusively distinguish between them. In the discussion that follows, our conclusions about the roles of the different classes of oligomers do not change depending on this detail of the mechanism.

#### Growth phase reactions

Starting at around day 20, a second pathway for aggregation was observed by AFM: protofibril formation and elongation. The second pathway caused a bifurcation in the height–length 2D histogram at

 Table 2. Optimized rate coefficients for late-lag-phase simulation under Scheme 2

kab+ [day <sup>-1</sup> ]	$kab_{-}$ [day <sup>-1</sup> ]	$kb_{4+}$ [( $\mu$ M day) <sup>-1</sup> ]	$kb_{8-}$ [day <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ [kJ/mol]
$\begin{array}{c} 0.024\\ \Delta G^{\circ} = 6.9 \end{array}$	0.28 9 kJ/mol	0.023 $\Delta G^{\circ} = 2.7 \text{ k}$	0.067 [/mol	62.1

The free-energy change  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is calculated based on the forward and reverse rate coefficients of each step, using Eq. (24).  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  is the energy barrier to AggB growth steps.

around  $B_{16}$  (Fig. 2g and h). We added Scheme 3 to our overall mechanism to accommodate protofibril formation and elongation. We propose that once  $B_{16}$  is formed, it may either continue to grow into  $B_{20}$  or go through a conformational change to form a protofibril nucleus  $F_{16}$ . This conversion into protofibril structure was confirmed by ANS and ThT fluorescence lifetime measurements.<sup>66</sup> Protofibrils were observed to grow as long as 150 nm (Fig. 2h), corresponding to about a 252-mer. We considered that the protofibrils elongate through association with  $A_4$ , the most populated species prior to the growth phase.

We estimated our rate coefficients in Scheme 3 by matching the simulated height–length 2D histogram with experimental data, as in Fig. 2. As in AggB simulation, we used the same energy barrier to all the steps in protofibril elongation and used Eq. (19) to scale the forward rate for elongation  $kf_+$  and to reduce the number of free parameters. We used the same rate coefficients for all the disassociation reactions and found that the reverse rate was negligible.

The overall simulated height-length 2D histogram from day 11 to day 31 (Fig. 8) was able to reproduce our experimental data. Aggregation proceeded along the two major pathways of assembly. The range of AggB sizes increased with incubation time, with the mode of the population also shifting to larger sizes. During the late lag phase,  $B_4$  was the dominant AggB size, with a very small number of larger AggB oligomers. During the growth phase,  $B_8$ ,  $B_{12}$ , and  $B_{16}$  populations increased dramatically. The appearance time of protofibrils was consistent with the small number and length of protofibrils present on day 23, which then became abundant and long by day 31. The maximum length of protofibrils observed during the experiments was reproduced at about 150 nm. The relative population of AggB and protofibrils in the AFM (excluding  $B_4$ ) was reproduced at 0.24. The population of AggB was dramatically lower above the bifurcation point  $B_{16}/F_{16}$ .

There were also several detailed features that were not captured by our simulation. First, the size

$$B_{16} \xrightarrow{kbf_{+}} F_{16}$$

$$F_{16} + A_4 \xrightarrow{kf_{16+}} F_{20}$$

$$F_{20} + A_4 \xrightarrow{kf_{20+}} F_{24}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F_{248} + A_4 \xrightarrow{kf_{248+}} F_{252-}$$

**Scheme 3.** The mechanism representing the growth phase begins with conformational conversion of  $B_{16}$  to  $F_{16}$ , a protofibril of the same size.  $F_{16}$  continues to grow to  $F_{252}$  by the addition of  $A_4$ . Together with Scheme 1 and 2, this scheme was used to generate the evolution of AFM histograms represented in Fig. 8 with the optimized rate coefficients in Table 3.



Fig. 8. AFM height-length 2D histograms constructed from kinetic simulation of Schemes 1-3. The 2D histogram was constructed using Eq. (16) and the simulated population distribution for (a) day 11, (b) day 15, (c) day 23, and (d) day 31. The population distributions were normalized by the most populated species for that day to facilitate comparison with experimental data. The circled numbers on the right of 2D histograms give the number of monomers in globular species of corresponding heights, referring to Fig. 3. The red dots at the top of (d) show the number of monomers in protofibrils of corresponding length, calculated with Eq. (14). Both the globular and the fibrillar growth patterns observed in the AFM experiments are reproduced by the simulation. This simulation captured the protofibril elongation events during growth phase. Two trends were simulated: globular assembly and fibrillar elongation.

evolution of AggB did not match exactly with our data. For example, on day 23, the model overpredicted the  $B_{12}$  population (compare Fig. 2g to Fig. 8c). Our assumption that the energy barrier was the same for all steps in AggB aggregation could be an explanation of this problem. Second, a small number (approximately 3%) of particles higher than 6 nm were observed by AFM, but did not appreciably populate in the simulation (Fig. 8g and h). These particles could be experimental artifacts from AggB and short protofibrils sitting on top of each other. Alternatively, they could arise from aggregation steps involving the larger AggB aggregates. This class of reaction was not included in our final model. Third, the bundling of several protofibrils to form thicker fibrils was not considered to be important at this stage. Thus, no thicker fibrils were present in the simulation result. Fourth, we did not consider the possibility of AggB thicker than protofibrils converting into protofibrils as  $B_{16}$ did. The observation of particle between the two aggregation trend lines suggests this possibility, although the small population suggests a minority contribution at best. Fifth, close inspection of the protofibril length distribution in Fig. 2 shows that there may be a local minimum of the population at  $\sim$  50 nm, suggesting a local free-energy maximum at this protofibril length. We have made no attempt to account for this feature, as our focus is on the oligomeric species leading up to protofibrils and not on the details of protofibril elongation.

Another possible mechanism was to use  $B_4$ , instead of  $A_4$ , as the protofibrillation elongation unit. The simulated fluorescence data from that model did not match our ANS measurement in the sense that the  $A_4$  population decreased too slowly during aggregation. Our simulation also showed that monomer addition could not account for protofibril elongation in our case because not enough monomers were around in the system to interact with the protofibril for elongation at this stage. We did not include protofibril elongation via end-to-end interactions between short protofibrils. Considering the low concentration of protofibril and their slow rate of diffusion in solution compared with  $A_4$ , the effective rate of such a reaction is likely to be very low. The remainder of the discussion focuses on the mechanism, with  $A_4$  as the elongation unit.

The optimized rate constants appearing in Table 3 predict that there is a free-energy decrease for the conversion from  $B_{16}$  into the smallest amyloidogenic structure  $F_{16}$ . After optimizing the simulation, we found during sensitivity test that as long as  $kf_-$  values were smaller than 0.3, they had no effect on the simulation results. Thus, the  $kf_-$  values were bracketed between 0 and 0.3. With coefficients in this range, the calculated Gibbs energy change using Eq. (24) was always negative; thus, the protofibril elongation steps were energetically favorable. This result implies that protofibril species can work as template to incorporate assembly units and can be used as seeds to induce fast assembly with enough assembly unit around.

#### Validation of kinetic model with ANS data

We used qualitative aspects of the ANS data to guide the formulation of the kinetic model. We now use the kinetic simulation that was based on AFM and DLS fits to generate the evolution of the ANS fingerprints that were previously measured.<sup>66</sup> Thus, the ANS data provide an independent measure of

**Table 3.** Optimized rate coefficients for growth phase simulation under Scheme 3

<i>kbf</i> + [day <sup>-1</sup> ]	$kbf_{-}$ [day <sup>-1</sup> ]	$kf_{16+}$ [(µM day) <sup>-1</sup> ]	$kf_{16-} \\ [day^{-1}]$	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ [kJ/mol]
$0.099 \\ \Delta G^{\circ} = -12$	0.00076 2.5 kJ/mol	$0.67 \\ \Delta G^{\circ} \leq -2.1 $	0.3ª «J/mol	52.3

<sup>a</sup> This reverse rate coefficient is the upper limit; simulations were insensitive to rates below these values. The free-energy change  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is calculated based on the forward and reverse rate coefficients of each step, using Eq. (24).  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  is the energy barrier to protofibril growth steps.

the evolution of different species in solution against which we may test our overall kinetic model.

We used Eq. (17) with the populations of the different classes of oligomers generated by the kinetic simulations to simulate the different fluorescence contributions we have previously reported.<sup>66</sup> The evolution of the ANS lifetime distribution fingerprints is shown in Fig. 9 (top). By comparison, the simulated contribution to fluorescence is shown at the bottom. The kinetic simulation was not adjusted to match the ANS data, nor were the relative amplitudes of the different contributions adjusted. The bottom panel may also be interpreted as the amount of monomer accumulated into each species.

As in the experimental data, three stages of aggregation appeared in our simulated fingerprint evolution with the same time span. From day 0 to day 10, the dominant process was conversion of monomers into tetramers via dimers. Here we reassign the monomer fingerprint in our last study to monomer plus both nonoxidative and oxidative dimers.

The contribution from monomer and dimers dropped dramatically as the tetramer reached its maximum population at around day 10. During this stage, a reservoir of proteins made up of energetically favorable  $A_2$ ,  $A_2^{Ox}$ , and  $A_4$  accumulated before the appearance of any amyloidogenic species. From day 11 to day 21,  $A_4$  converted into AggB, as shown by the anticorrelation of the two populations. Energetically unfavorable AggB accumulated in a relatively smaller population than AggA before nucleation of protofibrils. After day 22,  $A_4$  contribution decreased, while the protofibril fingerprint intensity increased accordingly, and the contribution from AggB plateaued. Protein was incorporated into protofibrils via  $A_4$ .

One difference between the simulation and the experiment fingerprint evolution was the contribution from protofibrils. The ANS lifetime measurement showed that the protofibril contribution was flat before day 20 and increased abruptly after day 21. In the simulation, this contribution was flat before day 10 and increased gradually afterwards. One possible source of this discrepancy is our omission of the conversion of protofibrils into fibrils from the mechanism. Larger fibrils appear to bind ANS and ThT differently from protofibrils. Another



**Fig. 9.** ANS fingerprint contribution was calculated as an independent validation of the kinetic model. Top: Experimental ANS fingerprint contribution evolution of different classes of species for 28 days of incubation. Bottom: Simulated ANS fingerprint contribution evolution based on species population from kinetic simulation, using Eq. (17). Three stages of growth featured by AggA, AggB, and protofibril formation were captured.

possibility is that the fingerprint for protofibrils should more closely resemble that of AggB. The protofibril fingerprint was generated by removing as much AggB fingerprint from the late-stage distributions as possible while maintaining nonnegativity. In this case, the fingerprint for AggB would include contributions that should be attributed to protofibrils, which may account for the biphasic appearance of the experimental AggB fingerprint evolution.

ANS fingerprints do not give concentrations of individual species. The fingerprint is an average of the different contributions to the ANS lifetime distribution averaged over all the species included in the class of oligomer. Because the relative contribution of each size in a given oligomer class changes during incubation, the connection between a fingerprint and the overall population may not be fixed. ANS partitions among all its available binding sites according to populating and binding affinities, preventing an absolute concentration of a species from being determined. Note that in spite of all these caveats, the simulated fingerprint evolution was obtained using the same proportionality constant for all species. Figure 9 shows that for three of the fingerprints that the evolution simulated from AFM and DLS data, the experimental ANS signals match quite well. This suggests that the different classes of aggregate have similar binding properties to the surface in the AFM experiments and to ANS in the fluorescence experiments.

#### Discussion

#### Aggregation free-energy landscape

The detailed kinetic mechanism allows us to generate an aggregation free-energy landscape under the amyloidogenic conditions of our experiments. The free-energy change of each reaction  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ was calculated from Eq. (24) using optimized forward and reverse rate coefficients. We included the four reactions where the simulation produced only an upper limit for the reverse rate constants  $(ka_{2-}, koa_{-}, ka_{4-}, and kf_{-})$  by considering the least negative  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for the aggregation step in question that was supported by the data. For each of these steps, the free-energy landscape could be steeper than shown in Fig. 10. Free-energy barriers  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ were determined as a fit parameter in Eq. (19), which is not applicable for unimolecular reactions. For visualization purposes, barriers to  $A_2 \rightarrow A_2^{Ox}$  and  $A_4 \rightarrow B_4$  are shown in Fig. 10 with  $\overline{\Delta}G^{\ddagger}$  for the growth reaction of the respective product species, since they should involve similar conformational changes. Similarly, we show the energy barrier to  $B_{16} \rightarrow F_{16}$  as 1.5 times that of protofibril elongation. Based on simulation, the population of  $B_{16}$  was always in excess of  $F_{16}$  (>20 times), indicating that this step is rate limiting compared to protofibril elongation.

Formation of AggA oligomers is energetically favorable for all steps, as shown in Fig. 10. The accumulation of AggA in this local free-energy basin at  $A_4$  is the main characteristic of the early lag phase. Reactions in the late lag phase that form and grow AggB are uphill. Filling of the shallow free-energy basin by populating AggB is the main feature of the late lag phase. There is a bifurcation in the energy landscape at  $B_{16}$ . Growth of AggB remains unfavorable above  $B_{16}$ . However, at this point, formation of protofibrils becomes favorable, signaling the start of the growth phase. The lag time for  $\beta$ -LGA fibrillization kinetics is caused by the accumulation of AggA and the energetically unfavorable formation of  $B_{16}$ species. The net free-energy increase of 15 kJ/mol for  $A_4 \rightarrow B_{16}$  does not involve any bracketed rates. The sequential downhill elongation of protofibrils is the main driving force for  $\beta$ -LGA amyloid assembly, leading to the formation of mature fibrils. This aggregation free-energy landscape leads to four different behaviors for oligomers based on their kinetic relationships.



**Fig. 10.** Free-energy landscape for β-LGA amyloid assembly. Assigning the origin of the free energy as the partially unfolded monomer, the apparent free energies for AggA, AggB, and protofibrils are plotted based on the free-energy change of each reaction. The gray arrows show the path of increasing aggregation number for each class of aggregate, while the red arrows show the conformational conversions ( $A_2$  to  $A_2^{Ox}$ ,  $A_4$  to  $B_4$ , and  $B_{16}$  to  $F_{16}$ ). Four types of oligomers are identified by their location in the energy landscape and by their role during amyloidogenesis. Reservoir oligomers sit at a free-energy valley. Nucleating oligomers are the species with the highest free energy along the amyloid formation pathway. Sequential assembly after nucleation of seeding oligomers follows a downhill trend on the energy landscape, which is the driving force for fast amyloid protofibril elongation in the growth phase. Formation of dead-end oligomers has a much smaller driving force than protofibril elongation and is off the amyloidogenic pathway.

#### Species involved in amyloidogenesis

Reservoir oligomers are located in a stable valley on the free-energy landscape. The dominant reservoir oligomer is  $A_4$ . However, other AggA species and AggB smaller than  $B_{16}$  also contribute to the pool of aggregated misfolded proteins. These small oligomers accumulate at relatively larger populations during the lag phase. The pool of stable reservoir oligomers mediates later aggregation events. Under the amyloidogenic conditions of our experiments, reservoir oligomers dominate the lag phase and the early growth phase. Reservoir oligomers eventually attain a size large enough to undergo the critical conformational change to the cross- $\beta$ -structure of amyloid.

Nucleating oligomers  $B_{16} \rightarrow F_{16}$  exist at the maximum free energy of intermediates along the amyloid aggregation pathway. Nucleating oligomers do not have the stable structure of amyloid and may not have a conformational relationship to the monomer or to other oligomers. As the species with maximum energy along the amyloid formation pathway, nucleating oligomers are metastable and present in relatively small populations.

Oligomers that have crossed over the barrier to the cross- $\beta$ -structure are seeding oligomers that mark the beginning of the amyloid fibril cascade. Proto-fibrils bigger than  $F_{16}$  belong to this class. Seeding oligomers can act as templates for the conformation conversion of units added to them; thus, their further assembly is energetically favored. Addition of these

seeding oligomers to samples under amyloidogenic conditions will reduce the length of the lag phase by incorporating reservoir oligomers without the need to wait for nucleating oligomers. Seeding oligomers accumulate before the formation of mature fibrils and dominate the growth phase. Isolating small seeding oligomers would require manipulation of experimental conditions to alter the free-energy landscape, so as to limit the elongation of protofibrils or to induce the fragmentation of larger protofibrils.

We designate AggB oligomers larger than  $B_{16}$  as dead-end oligomers. They are formed by continued growth of reservoir oligomers without converting into amyloid structure. Dead-end oligomers are uphill and off-pathway from amyloid; under amyloidogenic conditions, they remain in small populations. Isolating dead-end oligomers would require manipulation of experimental conditions to prevent the nucleation step ( $B_{16} \rightarrow F_{16}$ ) from occurring.

#### Relationship to other kinetic models

The free-energy landscape provides insight and a common framework for discussion and comparison of the present model to other common models of amyloidogenesis. The chief feature of a nucleated polymerization model is a sequential energetically unfavorable aggregation to form a nucleus of critical size.<sup>50</sup> Polymerization is favorable above this critical size. This model precludes the accumulation of a pool of oligomers in a local free-energy minimum.

The Lumry-Eyring nucleated polymerization (LENP) model is a generalization of many simpler nucleation growth models.<sup>81</sup> LENP includes five stages of growth and has some superficial similarities to our model. However, there are several important differences. Our study focused on the phase after the conformational changes of unaggregated protein and before the condensation of a higher-order assembly. In LENP, this stage is modeled as the prenucleation, nucleation, and growth of soluble aggregates via polymerization. The LENP prenucleation steps are comparable with our AggB formation. However, the reservoir oligomer is missing in the LENP model. The nucleation step in LENP is the irreversible rearrangement of an oligomer to amyloidogenic form, implying a large decrease in free energy. Our model showed only modest free-energy changes for the conformational conversion steps and the formation of the smallest amyloid species  $F_{16}$ . In LENP, amyloid growth occurs by monomer addition; in our model, they elongate by incorporating reservoir oligomers. Finally, we explicitly compare the populations of the different species present in our model to experimental data rather than calculating averaged relaxation behavior.

The micelle nucleation model assumes an all-ornothing aggregation to form micelles,<sup>51,80</sup> although micelle formation may not be as sharp in proteins as in traditional surfactants. We did not observe the cooperativity required for this model. However, there are some important similarities between our model and that of Lomakin *et al.* The micelle acts as a reservoir oligomer above the critical micelle concentration. The amphiphilic nature of proteins makes the formation of micelle-like structures a reasonable model. A critical micelle concentration also suggests a limit to the size of a colloidal protein aggregate. Micelle nucleation requires that the reservoir and nucleating oligomers be the same. Amyloid growth from the micelle nucleus was modeled by monomer addition.

The NCC model for Sup35 amyloid assembly can fit into our picture in some aspects.<sup>52,91</sup> Lag-phase oligomers of Sup35 formed a reservoir and mediated fibril elongation, similar to AggA. The Sup35 experiments did not identify any other intermediates. In NCC, the reservoir and nucleating oligomers are of the same size. In our model, nucleation occurs through a larger and rarer intermediate.

We considered the possibility of AggB aggregation through addition of  $B_4$ , instead of  $A_4$ . Because  $A_4$  and  $B_4$  were in equilibrium with each other, the simulation results were indistinguishable from these two mechanisms. The parameters for growth by  $B_4$ addition gave downhill aggregation, implying no limit to AggB sizes. The globular aggregate height was limited to ~9 nm, implying uphill aggregation by  $A_4$ . However, AggB size may be kinetically controlled by fibril formation.

#### Roles of oligomers in amyloid hypotheses

The presence of amyloid aggregates defines the entire class of amyloid-related diseases. Because

production of amyloid is so often observed during disease progression, any suspected toxic species should be present under the physiological conditions that produce amyloid. To play a role in the disease, aggregates must be cytotoxic and stable enough to accumulate under the disease-relevant amyloidogenic conditions. Experimental tests of the amyloid cascade and toxic oligomer hypotheses mainly evaluate the first requirement of toxicity. The two hypotheses have differing free-energy landscape requirements.

The amyloid cascade hypothesis states that accumulation of autocatalytic amyloid fibrils and plaques cause the deficits in amyloid-related disease.<sup>15</sup> The free-energy landscape picture provides restrictions on this hypothesis. First, the reservoir must fill to the point that nucleating oligomers are formed to allow creation of seeding oligomers. Second, reservoir oligomers must accumulate in sufficient numbers to support elongation of protofibrils. Thus, two classes of oligomers are relevant to disease progression under the amyloid cascade hypothesis: seeding oligomers and reservoir oligomers. Single amino acid mutations that increase the rate of amyloid formation often increase the rate of disease progression.<sup>10,40,41</sup> This suggests that the mutations must either increase the depth of the reservoir and/or decrease the barrier to nucleation. An increase in  $cross-\beta$ -propensity would stabilize the amyloid product, but would not increase the rate of amyloid formation unless the transition state at the nucleation step resembles cross- $\beta$ . This idea can explain the inconsistency in the secondary structure prediction of amyloid-prone sequences. A net kinetic effect will appear only if the nucleation barrier is reduced or if the population of the reservoir oligomers is increased.

Reservoir oligomers are required for elongation of amyloid protofibrils. Therefore, the assays of toxicity of seeding oligomers when a pool of reservoir oligomers is not also present do not strictly evaluate the validity of the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Seeding experiments often show that the lag phase is greatly reduced, but not completely eliminated, as would be expected of a simple nucleation model. Under seeded conditions, the rate-determining step may be reformation of the pool of reservoir oligomers. The downhill landscape after the formation of nucleating oligomers assures the stability and accumulation of seeding oligomers and long protofibrils, which are the dominant diagnostic species in most investigations of amyloidogenesis. If this is true, then it is critical to prevent the formation of nucleating and seeding oligomers.

The free-energy landscape picture also provides restrictions on the toxic oligomer hypothesis. Small toxic oligomers must exist at local free-energy minima with significant barriers to amyloid formation (i.e., they must be reservoir oligomers). Thus, kinetic mechanisms that imply local minima at only the monomer and fibril locations in the aggregation free-energy landscape are incompatible with the toxic oligomer hypothesis. The small oligomers used to assay toxicity have many morphologies as they arise from many different, often physiologically impossible, preparation conditions. The different preparation conditions likely constrain the aggregation to oligomers that may be unstable or impossible under amyloidogenic conditions. No attempt is usually made to place the oligomers into the context of amyloidogenic aggregation. As a result, any combination of kinetic roles may be active in the assayed samples.

The toxic oligomer hypothesis cannot, on its own, explain why amyloid is observed in amyloid-related diseases, nor can it explain the correlation between single amino acid mutations that increase amyloid propensity and the onset and severity of diseaserelated symptoms. This coincidence of the toxicity of small oligomers and their amyloidogenic properties can be explained with our mechanism. For the formation of amyloid fibrils, which are the ultimate indicators of amyloidogenesis, reservoir oligomers must be present under amyloidogenic conditions. The rate of growth of amyloid protofibrils is controlled by the concentration of the reservoir oligomers. If the reservoir oligomers are also intrinsically toxic, this can explain the coincidence between the progression of disease and the presence of amyloid, as both depend on the concentration of the reservoir oligomers. It also explains the effects of single amino acid mutations if such changes increase the population of the reservoir oligomers.

Identification of targets for therapeutics depends on the nature of the aggregation free-energy landscape. Therapeutic intervention relies on the inhibition or acceleration of particular reactions, which can be accomplished by increasing or decreasing the barriers to the aggregation freeenergy landscape. For example,  $\beta$ -LGA monomer is stabilized by the binding of an appropriate ligand, which increases the barrier to aggregation. Another approach is to open new reaction pathways to important species in the aggregation free-energy landscape. Our mechanism suggests that the formation of reservoir oligomers is a key feature of both dominant amyloid disease hypotheses. Reservoirs oligomers are accordingly the best target during therapeutic design. For example, toxic species could be cleared by converting them to innocuous species. However, inhibiting fibril formation might enhance the population of reservoir oligomers and enhance toxicity if the toxic oligomer hypothesis is correct. Other therapeutic interventions could have similar unintended consequences because of the details of the aggregation free-energy landscape.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Incubation of β-LGA

 $\beta$ -LGA from bovine milk (product no. L-7880, lot no. 026K7000; Sigma) was found to contain salt impurities at a level of approximately 50% by mass and was therefore

dialyzed against 100 mM (pH 7.0) phosphate buffer to remove salt prior to use. Stock urea (Sigma) and phosphate (Sigma) buffer solutions were filtered with 0.02- and 0.22-µm filters, respectively. No filtration was performed after protein solution dialysis. The final solution for incubation was approximately 0.95 mg/ml  $\beta$ -LGA in 13.7 mM (pH 7) phosphate buffer with 5.0 M urea. Concentration was verified by UV absorption. This sample was incubated in an Eppendorf tube at 37 °C without agitation for over 30 days. Incubations were performed on three separate occasions and produced consistent DLS and luminescence results each time. AFM measurements were performed in parallel with replicate samples and gave consistent results for three different incubations.

#### AFM sample preparation and imaging

Each day, after inversion of the tube once, 20 µl of the incubated solution was aliquoted for AFM imaging on modified mica. To modify the mica surface, we applied 20 µl of 0.1% (vol/vol) APTES (catalog no. 151081000; Acros) evenly on a freshly cleaved 9.9-mm-diameter muscovite mica disk (product no. 50; Ted Pella) and allowed it to react for 10 min.<sup>92</sup> Unreacted APTES was rinsed away with 15 ml of Millipore water. The surface was dried with HPLC-grade compressed nitrogen gas. The incubated sample was applied evenly on this freshly prepared surface and allowed to adsorb for 3 min. Unbound species were rinsed away with Millipore water. Residual water was blown away with nitrogen gas. The sample was imaged by a MultiMode Scanning Probe Microscope with a Nanoscope IIIa controller (Veeco), with a tapping-mode etched silicon probe (TESP; Veeco) in tapping mode in air. The scan speed was 1 Hz, with an image size of 512×512 pixels. Samples were stored in disk carriers when further imaging was required.

#### Image analysis

Image analysis was performed using a custom protocol developed to identify, classify, and measure all the particles in the many images taken throughout the incubation. We classified the detected particles as globular, protofibrillar, and long fibrillar, based on size and morphology. Models were used to deconvolute tip effects from the image lateral dimensions. The aggregation number *j* was calculated based on the deconvoluted size of particles.

#### Particle identification

The tilt in raw AFM images was removed by fitting scan lines to a polynomial to yield flattened images. A dynamic threshold method was used to distinguish particles from the background.<sup>93</sup> Each nonborder pixel in the flattened AFM image was assigned a local background level M(x,y) by averaging the values of  $n \times n$  pixels around it. A user-defined threshold  $\phi$  was assigned for each image according to its background and noise. Pixels with value  $P(x,y) \ge M(x,y) + \phi$  were retained in a binary image mask to identify particles. Next, the position of the pixels with maximum value in each particle in the masked image was determined. With the use of these peak pixels as centers, small  $\rho \times \rho$  subimages containing only one detected particle were extracted from the flattened image. These subimages were used in the next particle classification and measurement steps.

#### Particle classification

We classified the particles based on a few simple features. The rarely observed long fibrillar species needed a much greater number of pixels to describe them and were easily distinguished from globular and short protofibrils. Such long fibrils were manually characterized by their height profiles. The globular and protofibrillar classes were abundant, so we automated the classification and measurement protocol. The globular particles were distinguished from short protofibrils by circularity, or the ratio of the square of the particle perimeter to  $4\pi$  times the particle area. A perfectly circular particle consequently would have a circularity of 1. A value of 1.2 was used to distinguish globular and protofibrillar particle classes.

#### Particle measurements

To automate the dimensional measurements of large numbers of globular and protofibrillar particles in the image, we fitted particles to 2D functions. These functions were solely designed to capture the observed shape and size of particles on the surface, as in the AFM images. Subimages containing globular particles were fitted to 2D Gaussian function, as in Eq. (1). The elliptical Gaussian function included deviation from circular geometry. Other functions were used to characterize geometry. However, none offered improvements in reliability over the simple 2D Gaussian:

$$P_{\rm g}(x,y) = P_0 + \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Y}{\sigma_y}\right)^2\right]\right) \qquad (1)$$

where

$$X = (y - y_0)\sin(\theta) + (x - x_0)\cos(\theta)$$
$$Y = (y - y_0)\cos(\theta) - (x - x_0)\sin(\theta)$$

The fit parameters  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  gave the position of globular particles in the subimage, and  $\theta$  described their orientation. The background  $P_0$ , the amplitude  $\alpha$ , and the standard deviation along the major and minor axes of the 2D Gaussian  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  were related with particle dimensions. The fit parameter  $\alpha$  systematically overestimated the height of globular particles in a width-dependent manner. Therefore, we calculated the observed globular particle height on the surface  $H_g$  by subtracting the local background  $P_0$  from the maximum pixel value of the particle. The observed globular particle radius on the surface  $R_g$  is calculated as the average of the half lengths at half maximum of the major and minor axes:

$$R_{\rm g} = \frac{\sqrt{2\ln^2} \left(\sigma_x + \sigma_y\right)}{2} \tag{2}$$

To model the morphology of protofibrils on the surface, we used a box with height  $H_{fr}$  length  $L_{fr}$  and width  $W_{fr}$  convoluted with a symmetric 2D Gaussian with standard deviation  $\sigma_c$ . The resulting function was used to fit the subimages containing protofibrils (Eq. (3)):

$$P_{\rm f}(x,y) = P_0 + H_{\rm f} - \frac{\left[ \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{X - W_{\rm f}}{\sigma_{\rm c}\sqrt{2}}\right) - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{X + W_{\rm f}}{\sigma_{\rm c}\sqrt{2}}\right) \right]}{4\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{W_{\rm f}}{\sigma_{\rm c}\sqrt{2}}\right) \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{L_{\rm f}}{\sigma_{\rm c}\sqrt{2}}\right)}$$
(3)

The fit parameters  $H_{\rm f}$ ,  $L_{\rm f}$ , and  $W_{\rm f}$  were used directly as the observed height, half length, and half width of protofibrils

on the surface, respectively.  $\sigma_c$  accounted for both the edge shape of the protofibril and the tip.

#### Tip deconvolution and calculation of aggregation number

The molecular volume of each species observed is proportional to the aggregation number *j*:

$$j = V_i / V_1 \tag{4}$$

The volume of the folded monomer  $V_1$  is approximately the maximum density achievable for the protein. Because of sample drying and soft sample tip compression, we assumed that all the species on the surface had the same density as the folded monomer. With a molecular mass of 18.4 kDa and a radius of ~1.76 nm, the density of folded monomer was calculated to be  $1.34 \times 10^{-21}$  g/nm<sup>3</sup>. To determine the aggregation number *j* for each species, we converted the fit dimensions of globular particles on the surface into real particle dimensions and volume.

Particles in an AFM image are flattened by the interaction with the surface and by compression from the tip. We modeled the particles as a disc of thickness  $h_g$  with a radius of  $r_m$  and  $r_m + h_g$  for the top and bottom surface, respectively (Fig. 11). Its volume  $V_g$  can be calculated as in Eq. (5):

$$V_{g} = \int_{0}^{h_{g}} \pi \left( r_{m} + \sqrt{\left(h_{g}^{2} - z^{2}\right)} \right)^{2} dz$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{6} h_{g} \pi \left(4h_{g}^{2} + 3\pi h_{g} r_{m} + 6r_{m}^{2}\right)$  (5)

Two experimental phenomena must be taken into account in the model. First, particles were partially embedded in an amorphous protein layer on the surface of thickness  $h_{u}$ , resulting in a smaller observed height  $H_{g}$ :

$$H_{\rm g} = h_{\rm g} - h_{\rm u} \tag{6}$$

Second, the lateral dimensions of particles are overestimated by the effect of the AFM tip. We modeled the tip as a sphere with radius  $r_t$ . The blue trace in Fig. 11 illustrates the path recorded by the AFM tip under this geometry.

This observed profile z(x) can be represented analytically by a piecewise function:

$$z(x) = \begin{cases} h_{\rm u} & x < -\mathbb{X} \\ \sqrt{\left(h_{\rm g} + r_{\rm t}\right)^2 - (x + r_{\rm m})^2} - r_{\rm t} & -\mathbb{X} \le x \le - r_{\rm m} \\ h_{\rm g} & -r_{\rm m} \le x \le r_{\rm m} \\ \sqrt{\left(h_{\rm g} + r_{\rm t}\right)^2 - (x - r_{\rm m})^2} - r_{\rm t} & r_{\rm m} \le x \le \mathbb{X} \\ h_{\rm u} & \mathbb{X} \le x \end{cases}$$
(7)

where

$$X = \sqrt{(h_g + r_t)^2 - (h_u + r_t)^2} + r_m$$
 (8)

is the *x* position where the tip first contacts the particle.  $R_g$  is the radius measured at half height and includes both an effect from the tip  $r_t$  and the surface layer  $h_u$ . The true radius of the particle at half height above the layer is  $r_g$  and can be expressed by:

$$r_{\rm g} = r_{\rm m} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(h_{\rm g} - h_{\rm u})(3h_{\rm g} - h_{\rm u})}$$
(9)

To convert the observed width  $R_{\rm g}$  into the globular particle radius  $r_{\rm g}$ , we use Eq. (9) and set Eq. (7) to its value



**Fig. 11.** Schematic of tip scanning globular particles deposited on the surface during AFM imaging. Globular particles are modeled as a disc (red) partially embedded in a layer of deformed protein  $h_u$  thick (brown). The tip is modeled as a sphere with radius  $r_t$ . The size of the tip prevents it from exactly tracing the particles on the surface. The trace (blue) of the last atom on the tip (blue spot) is recorded as particle profile during imaging. The height of the particle ( $h_g$ ) is underestimated to be  $H_g$  (= $h_g$ - $h_u$ ), and the radius ( $r_g$ ) is overestimated as  $R_g$  during measurement. Based on this model, with observed height  $H_g$  and radius  $R_{g'}$ , we calculated the real dimension of globular particles on the surface.

at the experimentally observed  $R_g$  or  $z(R_g)=H_g/2+h_u$ . Solving for  $r_g$  while eliminating  $r_m$  and  $h_g$  gives:

$$r_{\rm g} = R_{\rm g} - \left(\sqrt{H_{\rm g}\left(h_{\rm u} + \frac{3}{4}H_{\rm g} + r_{\rm t}\right)} - \sqrt{H_{\rm g}\left(h_{\rm u} + \frac{3}{4}H_{\rm g}\right)}\right)$$
(10)

The parameter  $r_{\rm m}$  is given by:

$$r_{\rm m} = R_{\rm g} - \sqrt{H_{\rm g} \left(h_{\rm u} + \frac{3}{4}H_{\rm g} + r_{\rm t}\right)}$$
 (11)

The volume of particle can be calculated from the experimental quantities substituting Eqs. (6) and (11) into Eq. (5), giving:

$$V_{g} = \frac{1}{6}\pi \left[ 4(H_{g} + h_{u})^{3} + 3\pi(H_{g} + h_{u})^{2} \\ \times \left( R_{g} - \sqrt{H_{g}(3H_{g}/4 + h_{u} + r_{t})} \right) + 6(H_{g} + h_{u}) \\ \times \left( R_{g} - \sqrt{H_{g}(3H_{g}/4 + h_{u} + r_{t})} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(12)

Using Eq. (12) requires the observed dimensions  $(H_g, R_g)$ for each particle and estimates for the tip radius  $r_t$  and thickness of the surface layer  $h_{\rm u}$  for the entire image. The amorphous protein layer on clean mica surfaces was observed to be 0.6-0.8 nm thick. Therefore, the surface layer thickness was taken to be  $h_u = 0.7$  nm for all images. Globular particles of the same volume and shape from different images should have the same height  $h_g$  and lateral dimension  $r_{\rm g}$ . During imaging, tip wear and contamination from the sample resulted in deviation from its nominal radius of ~10 nm. Although the distribution of heights is relatively unaffected by the tip shape, the distribution of lateral dimensions is strongly perturbed by it. Images with slightly different tip radii were pooled by adjusting the tip radius parameter for each related image such that the overall height-lateral dimension distribution was matched. We matched the  $H_{g}$  and real length  $(2r_g)$  2D histogram profiles for 50 images taken during the 32 days by adjusting the tip radius of each image. The tip radius was typically  $\sim 20$  nm, although this parameter ranged between 5 and 80 nm. The resulting total histogram, shown in Fig. 3, provided an empirical relationship between  $H_g$  and  $r_g$ :

$$H_{\rm g} = 0.50\sqrt{4r_{\rm g}^2 - 7.8^2} + 0.3 \tag{13}$$

shown as the dotted line in Fig. 3. This empirical function also allowed us to use Eqs. (12) and (4) to correlate the heights of globular particles to their volumes and aggregation number, as shown by the numbered circles in Fig. 3. This relationship is used to convert kinetic simulation data, which return the aggregation number j to particle dimensions that we compare with our data.

To determine the aggregation number of protofibrils, we used the same protein density as the globular particle on the surface. We used the observed lateral dimension  $W_{\rm f}$  and  $L_{\rm f}$  directly to calculate the volume of protofibril on the surface  $V_{\rm fr}$  assuming that the tip effect for protofibrils was small because of their big size. Protofibrils were considered as ellipsoids to estimate their volume as in Eq. (14):

$$V_{\rm f} = \frac{\pi}{4} L_{\rm f} W_{\rm f} (H_{\rm f} + h_{\rm u}) \tag{14}$$

#### Image analysis protocol performance

We applied this image analysis protocol to images from the first 32 incubation days. Every image had a different noise level associated with imaging conditions such as image size, tip, temperature, and so on. We chose a local threshold  $(\phi)$  to include all globular species and fibrils while rejecting the background from noise and the amorphous protein layer. Some small features of the background were unavoidably included. However, these features did not have a well-defined shape and were too small for the fitting algorithm to give accurate converged fits. Whether a particle can be successfully fitted depended on how many pixels in the images were used to define the particle and the noise level in that image. For images of the same size, the fit of small particles defined by just several pixels was more likely to be compromised by noise than the fit of bigger particles. The higher-resolution images allowed more pixels to define a particle of a given size, making it more likely to be successfully fitted. As a result, the population of the smallest particles around 1 nm high was determined with high accuracy in the 2-µm images, but was underestimated in the 5-µm images. Other than this uncertainty, enough particles of different heights of interest were successfully fitted (more than 85%) to give us a reliable estimation of the species present and their population distribution.

Even though we carefully controlled the sample deposition process, we observed small variations in particle coverage between samples. We attribute this variability to different degrees of APTES surface functionalization and to variation during the Millipore water rinsing step used to remove salt and any loose material. Although the particle coverage on the surface varied between images, the relative populations appeared not to change for a given point in the incubation. Therefore, to allow comparison between different days of the incubation, we normalized the 2D height–length distribution for each day to the most populated species detected on that day.

#### **Kinetic simulations**

All kinetic simulations were accomplished in Mathematica 6.0. Kinetic models (as discussed in Results) were formulated based on the observation of different intermediates. We numerically solved the resulting coupled differential equations to get the population evolution for each species. These populations were compared to DLS and AFM results to determine kinetic rate constants and to discard kinetic models that could not be made consistent with observations.

#### Comparison of simulations to experiments

To directly compare with our DLS measurements, we simulated the evolution of the correlation decay time distribution based on the evolution of the population of different species given by the kinetic simulation  $a_j(t)$  (Eq. (15)). We modeled the contribution of each species as a Gaussian distribution positioned at the decay time corresponding to its size with a standard deviation of 0.004 ms:

$$I_{\rm s}(\tau,t) = \sum_{j} j^2 a_j(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau - \omega \sqrt[3]{j}}{0.004}\right)^2\right)$$
(15)

where  $\omega$  is the scale factor between particle size and decay time, which was determined by our DLS experiments to be 0.02.<sup>66</sup>

To convert the simulated population on each day  $a_j(t)$  into a height–length 2D histogram, as in AFM measurements, we used Eq. (16):

$$\psi_{\rm s}(l,H) = \sum_{j} a_{j} {\rm e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{H-H_{j}}{\sigma_{\rm H}}\right)^{2}} {\rm e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{l-l_{j}}{\sigma_{\rm I}}\right)^{2}}$$
(16)

where, for globular particles, the observed height  $H_{g,j}$  and the real width  $l_{g,j}$  (as  $2r_{g,j}$ ) on the surface can be calculated according to aggregation number, as discussed above (Fig. 3). For protofibrils, an averaged observed height was used for  $H_{f,j}$ . The length  $l_{f,j}$  can be calculated (as  $L_t$ ) with Eq. (14).  $\sigma_H$  and  $\sigma_1$  are the standard deviations in height and width measurement, respectively. They were estimated to be 0.37 and 2 nm, based on the dispersion of height and length signals in the experimental height–length 2D histogram.

To generate the ANS fluorescence lifetime fingerprint evolution based on population evolution from kinetic simulation, we assumed that the fluorescence intensity was proportional to aggregation number *j* in each species. The total fluorescence  $C_a(t)$  for a given class of oligomer was obtained by the weighted sum:

$$C_a(t) \propto \sum_j ja_j(t) \tag{17}$$

where  $a_j(t)$  is the time evolution of species concentration  $a_j$ , where  $a \in \{A, B, F\}$  and j is summed over the range of relevant oligomer sizes. The same proportionality constant was used for all species.

#### Determination of rate coefficients

The early lag phase contained several rate coefficients that we fitted by minimizing the Kullback–Leibler divergence<sup>94</sup> between the simulated correlation decay time distribution evolution and experimental data:

$$\sum_{\tau,t} \left( I_{\rm s}(\tau,t)^{\dagger} - I_{\rm e}(\tau,t)^{\dagger} - I_{\rm s}(\tau,t)^{\dagger} \log \frac{I_{\rm s}(\tau,t)^{\dagger}}{I_{\rm e}(\tau,t)^{\dagger}} \right) \quad (18)$$

where  $I_{s}(\tau,t)^{\dagger}$  and  $I_{e}(\tau,t)^{\dagger}$  are the DLS correlation decay time distributions normalized by the maximum intensity

of each incubation time point from simulation (Eq. (15)) and from experiment, respectively. After finding the minimum divergence, we perturbed each parameter to evaluate the sensitivity of the fit.

To account for the many related association reactions present in the late lag phase and in the growth phase, we began from a basic encounter theory approach assuming diffusion-limited collisions with a free energy of activation that accounts for both entropic and energetic barriers to aggregation.<sup>95</sup> The rate constant for association steps during these phases  $k_{i+}$  can thus be expressed as in Eq. (19):

$$k_{i+} = k_i^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta G^*}{k_{\mathrm{B}}T}} \tag{19}$$

where  $k_j^{d}$  is the diffusion-limited rate constant for species with aggregation number *j* reacting with the assembly unit,  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann constant, and  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  is the freeenergy barrier to that association step.

The diffusion-limited rate coefficients for globular particle growth, by addition of globular assembly unit  $A_{\delta}$ , were calculated with:

$$k_{g,j}^{d} = 4\pi \left( D_{\delta} + D_{g,j} \right) \left( r_{\operatorname{sol},\delta} + r_{\operatorname{sol},j} \right)$$
(20)

where  $D_{\delta}$  and  $D_{g,j}$  are the diffusion constants for globular assembly unit and globular particles, respectively, which can be calculated with Einstein–Stokes–Debye equation. The globular particle radius in solution  $r_{sol,j}$  was calculated by assuming that all the globular species have the same density  $4.67 \times 10^{-22}$  g/nm<sup>3</sup>, which was calculated with a partially unfolded monomer radius ~2.5 nm from DLS measurements:<sup>66</sup>

$$D_{g,j} = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{6\pi\eta r_{\rm sol,j}} \tag{21}$$

where  $\eta$  is the viscosity of protein solution—0.876 cP in our case (measured for 5 M urea in buffer at 37 °C).

For protofibril elongation, fibril–fibril interaction was not included in the simulation. Protofibrils were elongated by adding globular assembly units to the end. We assumed that protofibrils had the same density and dimension in solution and on the surface. The protofibrils were treated as a string of imaginary touching spheres whose diameter equaled protofibril height.<sup>96</sup> The diffusion-limited rate coefficient in this case is:

$$k_{\mathrm{f},i}^{\mathrm{d}} = 4\pi \left( D_{\mathrm{f},i} + D_{\delta} \right) \left( r_{\mathrm{sol},\delta} + r_{\mathrm{is}} \right) \tag{22}$$

where  $D_{f,j}$  and  $D_{\delta}$  are the diffusion constants for the fibril and the globular assembly unit, respectively.  $r_{is}$  is the radius of the imaginary sphere, which equals half the height of protofibrils  $H_f + h_u$ . Again, an averaged height on the surface was used.

The diffusion constants were calculated using:

$$D_{\rm f,j} = \frac{D_{\rm is} \ln N}{N} \tag{23}$$

where  $D_{is}$  is the diffusion constant for the imaginary sphere and N is the number of spheres along the protofibril, calculated as the protofibril length divided by protofibril height.<sup>96</sup>

#### Calculation of free-energy difference

The free-energy difference was calculated as:

$$\Delta G_j^{\circ} = -k_{\rm B} T \ln \frac{k_{j+1}}{k_{(j+\delta)-1}} \tag{24}$$

where  $\delta$  is the number of monomers in the association unit and  $k_{(i+\delta)-}$  is the reverse rate for association steps.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported, in part, by grant R01GM071684 from the National Institutes of Health. J.T.G. was supported by a Graduate Assistantship in Areas of National Need grant to the Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology. We thank Richard Ebright for the use of AFM.

#### References

- Chiti, F. & Dobson, C. M. (2006). Protein misfolding, functional amyloid, and human disease. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 75, 333–366.
- Selkoe, D. J. (2003). Folding proteins in fatal ways. Nature (London), 426, 900–904.
- 3. Pepys, M. B. (2001). Pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment of systemic amyloidosis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B*, **356**, 203–211.
- 4. Pepys, M. B. (2006). Amyloidosis. Annu. Rev. Med. 57, 223–241.
- Polymeropoulos, M. H., Lavedan, C., Leroy, E., Ide, S. E., Dehejia, A., Dutra, A. *et al.* (1997). Mutation in the alpha-synuclein gene identified in families with Parkinson's disease. *Science*, **276**, 2045–2047.
- Krüger, R., Kuhn, W., Müller, T., Woitalla, D., Graeber, M., Kösel, S. *et al.* (1998). Ala30pro mutation in the gene encoding alpha-synuclein in Parkinson's disease. *Nat. Genet.* 18, 106–108; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ ng0298-106.
- Zarranz, J. J., Alegre, J., Gómez-Esteban, J. C., Lezcano, E., Ros, R., Ampuero, I. *et al.* (2004). The new mutation, e46k, of alpha-synuclein causes Parkinson and Lewy body dementia. *Ann. Neurol.* 55, 164–173; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.10795.
- Mizuno, Y., Hattori, N., Kubo, S.-I., Sato, S., Nishioka, K., Hatano, T. *et al.* (2008). Progress in the pathogenesis and genetics of Parkinson's disease. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B*, 363, 2215–2227; URL http://dx. doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.2273.
- Singleton, A. B., Farrer, M., Johnson, J., Singleton, A., Hague, S., Kachergus, J. *et al.* (2003). Alpha-synuclein locus triplication causes Parkinson's disease. *Science*, **302**, 841; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science. 1090278.
- Conway, K. A., Harper, J. D. & Lansbury, P. T. (1998). Accelerated *in vitro* fibril formation by a mutant alpha-synuclein linked to early-onset Parkinson disease. *Nat. Med.* 4, 1318–1320; URL http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/3311.
- Lee, M. K., Stirling, W., Xu, Y., Xu, X., Qui, D., Mandir, A. S. *et al.* (2002). Human alpha-synuclein-harboring familial Parkinson's disease-linked Ala-53-Thr mutation causes neurodegenerative disease with alphasynuclein aggregation in transgenic mice.*Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **99**, 8968–8973; URL http://dx.doi. org/10.1073/pnas.132197599.
- 12. Iijima, K., Chiang, H. -C., Hearn, S. A., Hakker, I., Gatt, A., Shenton, C. *et al.* (2008). Abeta42 mutants with different aggregation profiles induce distinct

pathologies in *Drosophila*. *PLoS ONE*, **3**, e1703; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001703.

- Meinhardt, J., Tartaglia, G. G., Pawar, A., Christopeit, T., Hortschansky, P., Schroeckh, V. *et al.* (2007). Similarities in the thermodynamics and kinetics of aggregation of disease-related Abeta(1–40) peptides. *Protein Sci.* 16, 1214–1222; URL http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1110/ps.062734207.
- Caughey, B. & Lansbury, P. T. (2003). Protofibrils, pores, fibrils, and neurodegeneration: separating the responsible protein aggregates from the innocent bystanders. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 26, 267–298; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.26.010302. 081142.
- Hardy, J. A. & Higgins, G. A. (1992). Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Science* (*Washington*, *DC*), **256**, 184–185.
- McGeer, P. L. & McGeer, E. G. (1995). The inflammatory response system of brain: implications for therapy of Alzheimer and other neurodegenerative diseases. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 21, 195–218.
- Eikelenboom, P. & Veerhuis, R. (1996). The role of complement and activated microglia in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging*, 17, 673–680.
- Pike, C. J., Walencewicz, A. J., Glabe, C. G. & Cotman, C. W. (1991). Aggregation-related toxicity of synthetic beta-amyloid protein in hippocampal cultures. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 207, 367–368.
- Lorenzo, A. & Yankner, B. A. (1994). Beta-amyloid neurotoxicity requires fibril formation and is inhibited by Congo red. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **91**, 12243–12247.
- Mucke, L., Masliah, E., Yu, G. Q., Mallory, M., Rockenstein, E. M., Tatsuno, G. *et al.* (2000). Highlevel neuronal expression of Abeta 1–42 in wild-type human amyloid protein precursor transgenic mice: synaptotoxicity without plaque formation. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 4050–4058.
- Dodart, J. -C., Bales, K. R., Gannon, K. S., Greene, S. J., DeMattos, R. B., Mathis, C. *et al.* (2002). Immunization reverses memory deficits without reducing brain Abeta burden in Alzheimer's disease model. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 452–457; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nn842.
- Meier, J. J., Kayed, R., Lin, C. -Y., Gurlo, T., Haataja, L., Jayasinghe, S. *et al.* (2006). Inhibition of human IAPP fibril formation does not prevent beta-cell death: evidence for distinct actions of oligomers and fibrils of human IAPP. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 291, E1317–E1324.
- Lue, L. -F., Kuo, Y. -M., Roher, A. E., Brachova, L., Shen, Y., Sue, L. *et al.* (1999). Soluble amyloid beta peptide concentration as a predictor of synaptic change in Alzheimer's disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* 155, 853–862.
- McLean, C. A., Cherny, R. A., Fraser, F. W., Fuller, S. J., Smith, M. J., Beyreuther, K. *et al.* (1999). Soluble pool of Abeta amyloid as a determinant of severity of neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann. Neurol.* 46, 860–866.
- Klein, W. L., Krafft, G. A. & Finch, C. E. (2001). Targeting small Abeta oligomers: the solution to an Alzheimer's disease conundrum? *Trends Neurosci.* 24, 219–224.
- Kirkitadze, M. D., Bitan, G. & Teplow, D. B. (2002). Paradigm shifts in Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders: the emerging role of oligomeric assemblies. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 69, 567–577.

- 27. Klein, W. L., Stine, W. B. & Teplow, D. B. (2004). Small assemblies of unmodified amyloid beta-protein are the proximate neurotoxin in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging*, **25**, 569–580.
- Crowther, D. C., Kinghorn, K. J., Miranda, E., Page, R., Curry, J. A., Duthie, F. A. I. *et al.* (2005). Intraneuronal Abeta, non-amyloid aggregates and neurodegeneration in a *Drosophila* model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience*, **132**, 123–135; URL http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.12.025.
- Cleary, J. P., Walsh, D. M., Hofmeister, J. J., Shankar, G. M., Kuskowski, M. A., Selkoe, D. J. & Ashe, K. H. (2005). Natural oligomers of the amyloid-beta protein specifically disrupt cognitive function. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 79–84; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nn1372.
- Dennis, S. (2008). Soluble oligomers of the amyloid beta-protein impair synaptic plasticity and behavior. *Behav. Brain Res.* **192**, 106–113.
- Laurén, J., Gimbel, D. A., Nygaard, H. B., Gilbert, J. W. & Strittmatter, S. M. (2009). Cellular prion protein mediates impairment of synaptic plasticity by amyloid-beta oligomers.*Nature*, **457**, 1128–1132; URL http: //dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07761.
- Walsh, D. M., Klyubin, I., Fadeeva, J. V., Cullen, W. K., Anwyl, R., Wolfe, M. S. *et al.* (2002). Naturally secreted oligomers of amyloid beta protein potently inhibit hippocampal long-term potentiation *in vivo*. *Nature*, **416**, 535–539; URL http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/416535a.
- Chromy, B. A., Nowak, R. J., Lambert, M. P., Viola, K. L., Chang, L., Velasco, P. T. *et al.* (2003). Self-assembly of Abeta 1–42 into globular neurotoxins. *Biochemistry*, 42, 12749–12760.
- Kayed, R., Sokolov, Y., Edmonds, B., McIntire, T. M., Milton, S. C., Hall, J. E. & Glabe, C. G. (2004). Permeabilization of lipid bilayers is a common conformation-dependent activity of soluble amyloid oligomers in protein misfolding diseases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 46363–46366; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.C400260200.
- Demuro, A., Mina, E., Kayed, R., Milton, S. C., Parker, I. & Glabe, C. G. (2005). Calcium dysregulation and membrane disruption as a ubiquitous neurotoxic mechanism of soluble amyloid oligomers. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 17294–17300; URL http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1074/jbc.M500997200.
- 36. Carrotta, R., Carlo, M. D., Manno, M., Montana, G., Picone, P., Romancino, D. & Biagio, P. L. S. (2006). Toxicity of recombinant beta-amyloid prefibrillar oligomers on the morphogenesis of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus. FASEB J.* 20, 1916–1917; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.06-5716fje.
- Danzer, K. M., Haasen, D., Karow, A. R., Moussaud, S., Habeck, M., Giese, A. *et al.* (2007). Different species of alpha-synuclein oligomers induce calcium influx and seeding. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 9220–9232; URL http:// dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2617-07.2007.
- Bucciantini, M., Giannoni, E., Chiti, F., Baroni, F., Formigli, L., Zurdo, J. *et al.* (2002). Inherent toxicity of aggregates implies a common mechanism for protein misfolding diseases. *Nature*, **416**, 507–511; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/416507a.
- Bitan, G., Fradinger, E. A., Spring, S. M. & Teplow, D. B. (2005). Neurotoxic protein oligomers—what you see is not always what you get. *Amyloid*, **12**, 88–95; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13506120500106958.
- 40. Narhi, L., Wood, S. J., Steavenson, S., Jiang, Y., Wu, G. M., Anafi, D. *et al.* (1999). Both familial Parkinson's

disease mutations accelerate alpha-synuclein aggregation. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 9843–9846.

- Greenbaum, E. A., Graves, C. L., Mishizen-Eberz, A. J., Lupoli, M. A., Lynch, D. R., Englander, S. W. *et al.* (2005). The e46k mutation in alpha-synuclein increases amyloid fibril formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 7800–7807; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M411638200.
- Watson, D., Castano, E., Kokjohn, T. A., Kuo, Y. -M., Lyubchenko, Y., Pinsky, D. *et al.* (2005). Physicochemical characteristics of soluble oligomeric Abeta and their pathologic role in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurol. Res.* 27, 869–881.
- Walsh, D. M., Hartley, D. M., Kusumoto, Y., Fezoui, Y., Condron, M. M., Lomakin, A. *et al.* (1999). Amyloid beta-protein fibrillogenesis. structure and biological activity of protofibrillar intermediates. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 25945–25952.
- Conway, K. A., Harper, J. D. & Lansbury, P. T. (2000). Fibrils formed *in vitro* from alpha-synuclein and two mutant forms linked to Parkinson's disease are typical amyloid. *Biochemistry*, **39**, 2552–2563.
- Bitan, G., Lomakin, A. & Teplow, D. B. (2001). Amyloid beta-protein oligomerization: prenucleation interactions revealed by photo-induced cross-linking of unmodified proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 35176–35184.
- Modler, A. J., Gast, K., Lutsch, G. & Damaschun, G. (2003). Assembly of amyloid protofibrils via critical oligomers—a novel pathway of amyloid formation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **325**, 135–148.
- Apetri, M. M., Maiti, N. C., Zagorski, M. G., Carey, P. R. & Anderson, V. E. (2006). Secondary structure of alphasynuclein oligomers: characterization by Raman and atomic force microscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* 355, 63–71; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.10.071.
- Podestà, A., Tiana, G., Milani, P. & Manno, M. (2006). Early events in insulin fibrillization studied by time-lapse atomic force microscopy. *Biophys. J.* **90**, 589–597; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1529/biophysj. 105.068833.
- Natalello, A., Prokorov, V. V., Tagliavini, F., Morbin, M., Forloni, G., Beeg, M. *et al.* (2008). Conformational plasticity of the Gerstmann–Straeussler–Scheinker disease peptide as indicated by its multiple aggregation pathways. *J. Mol. Biol.* 381, 1349–1361.
- Jarrett, J. T. & Lansbury, P. T. (1993). Seeding "onedimensional crystallization" of amyloid: a pathogenic mechanism in Alzheimer's disease and scrapie? *Cell* (*Cambridge, Mass.*), 73, 1055–1058.
- Lomakin, A., Chung, D. S., Benedek, G. B., Kirschner, D. A. & Teplow, D. B. (1996). On the nucleation and growth of amyloid beta-protein fibrils: detection of nuclei and quantitation of rate constants. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 93, 1125–1129.
- 52. Serio, T. R., Cashikar, A. G., Kowal, A. S., Sawicki, G. J., Moslehi, J. J., Serpell, L. *et al.* (2000). Nucleated conformational conversion and the replication of conformational information by a prion determinant. *Science (Washington, DC)*, **289**, 1317–1321.
- Hoozemans, J. J. M., Veerhuis, R., Haastert, E. S. V., Rozemuller, J. M., Baas, F., Eikelenboom, P. & Scheper, W. (2005). The unfolded protein response is activated in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol.* 110, 165–172; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00401-005-1038-0.
- 54. Volles, M. J. & Lansbury, P. T. (2002). Vesicle permeabilization by protofibrillar alpha-synuclein is sensitive to Parkinson's disease-linked mutations and occurs by a pore-like mechanism. *Biochemistry*, **41**, 4595–4602.

- 55. Kagan, B. L., Azimov, R. & Azimova, R. (2004). Amyloid peptide channels. J. Membr. Biol. 202, 1–10; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00232-004-0709-4.
- Varvel, N. H., Bhaskar, K., Patil, A. R., Pimplikar, S. W., Herrup, K. & Lamb, B. T. (2008). Abeta oligomers induce neuronal cell cycle events in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 10786–10793; URL http://dx. doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2441-08.2008.
- Narayanan, V. & Scarlata, S. (2001). Membrane binding and self-association of alpha-synucleins. *Biochemistry*, 40, 9927–9934.
- Zhu, M., Souillac, P. O., Ionescu-Zanetti, C., Carter, S. A. & Fink, A. L. (2002). Surface-catalyzed amyloid fibril formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 50914–50922; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M207225200.
- Zhu, M. & Fink, A. L. (2003). Lipid binding inhibits alpha-synuclein fibril formation. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 16873–16877; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M210136200.
- Sluzky, V., Tamada, J. A., Klibanov, A. M. & Langer, R. (1991). Kinetics of insulin aggregation in aqueous solutions upon agitation in the presence of hydrophobic surfaces. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 88, 9377–9381.
- 61. Jiang, D., Dinh, K. L., Ruthenburg, T. C., Zhang, Y., Su, L., Land, D. P. & Zhou, F. (2009). A kinetic model for beta-amyloid adsorption at the air/solution interface and its implication to the beta-amyloid aggregation process. J. Phys. Chem. B, **113**, 3160–3168; URL http:// dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp8085792.
- Ramirez-Alvarado, M., Merkel, J. S. & Regan, L. (2000). A systematic exploration of the influence of the protein stability on amyloid fibril formation *in vitro*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **97**, 8979–8984; URL http:// dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.150091797.
- Collins, S. R., Douglass, A., Vale, R. D. & Weissman, J. S. (2004). Mechanism of prion propagation: amyloid growth occurs by monomer addition. *PLoS Biol.* 2, 1582–1590.
- Bitan, G., Kirkitadze, M. D., Lomakin, A., Vollers, S. S., Benedek, G. B. & Teplow, D. B. (2003). Amyloid betaprotein (Abeta) assembly: Abeta 40 and Abeta 42 oligomerize through distinct pathways. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **100**, 330–335.
- Hurshman, A. R., White, J. T., Powers, E. T. & Kelly, J. W. (2004). Transthyretin aggregation under partially denaturing conditions is a downhill polymerization. *Biochemistry*, 43, 7365–7381.
- Giurleo, J. T., He, X. & Talaga, D. S. (2008). Betalactoglobulin assembles into amyloid through sequential aggregated intermediates. *J. Mol. Biol.* 381, 1332–1348.
- Gosal, W. S., Myers, S. L., Radford, S. E. & Thomson, N. H. (2006). Amyloid under the atomic force microscope. *Protein Pept. Lett.* 13, 261–270.
- Kad, N. M., Myers, S. L., Smith, D. P., Smith, D. A., Radford, S. E. & Thomson, N. H. (2003). Hierarchical assembly of beta 2-microglobulin amyloid *in vitro* revealed by atomic force microscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* 330, 785–797.
- Dahlgren, K. N., Manelli, A. M., Stine, W., Blaine, J., Baker, L. K., Krafft, G. A. & LaDu, M. J. (2002). Oligomeric and fibrillar species of amyloid-beta peptides differentially affect neuronal viability. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 32046–32053.
- Stine, W., Blaine, J., Dahlgren, K. N., Krafft, G. A. & LaDu, M. J. (2003). *In vitro* characterization of conditions for amyloid-beta peptide oligomerization and fibrillogenesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 11612–11622.

- Green, J., Goldsbury, C., Mini, T., Sunderji, S., Frey, P., Kistler, J. *et al.* (2003). Full-length rat amylin forms fibrils following substitution of single residues from human amylin. *J. Mol. Biol.* 326, 1147–1156.
- Harper, J. D., Wong, S. S., Lieber, C. M. & Lansbury, P. T. (1999). Assembly of Abeta amyloid protofibrils: an *in vitro* model for a possible early event in Alzheimer's disease. *Biochemistry*, 38, 8972–8980.
- Gosal, W. S., Clark, A. H. & Ross-Murphy, S. B. (2004). Fibrillar beta-lactoglobulin gels: Part 1. Fibril formation and structure. *Biomacromolecules*, 5, 2408–2419.
- 74. Xu, S., Bevis, B. & Arnsdorf, M. F. (2001). The assembly of amyloidogenic yeast sup35 as assessed by scanning (atomic) force microscopy: an analogy to linear colloidal aggregation? *Biophys. J.* 81, 446–454.
- Harper, J. D., Wong, S. S., Lieber, C. M. & Lansbury, P. T. (1997). Observation of metastable Abeta amyloid protofibrils by atomic force microscopy. *Chem. Biol.* 4, 119–125.
- Ding, T. T., Lee, S. -J., Rochet, J. -C. & Lansbury, P. T. (2002). Annular alpha-synuclein protofibrils are produced when spherical protofibrils are incubated in solution or bound to brain-derived membranes. *Biochemistry*, **41**, 10209–10217.
- Padrick, S. B. & Miranker, A. D. (2002). Islet amyloid: phase partitioning and secondary nucleation are central to the mechanism of fibrillogenesis. *Biochemistry*, **41**, 4694–4703.
- Kayed, R., Head, E., Thompson, J. L., McIntire, T. M., Milton, S. C., Cotman, C. W. & Glabe, C. G. (2003). Common structure of soluble amyloid oligomers implies common mechanism of pathogenesis. *Science* (*Washington*, DC), **300**, 486–489.
- Powers, E. T. & Powers, D. L. (2008). Mechanisms of protein fibril formation: nucleated polymerization with competing off-pathway aggregation. *Biophys. J.* 94, 379–391.
- Lomakin, A., Teplow, D. B., Kirschner, D. A. & Benedek, G. B. (1997). Kinetic theory of fibrillogenesis of amyloid beta-protein. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 94, 7942–7947.
- Andrews, J. M. & Roberts, C. J. (2007). A Lumry– Eyring nucleated polymerization model of protein aggregation kinetics: 1. Aggregation with pre-equilibrated unfolding. J. Phys. Chem. B, 111, 7897–7913; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp070212j.
- Sawyer, L. & Kontopidis, G. (2000). The core lipocalin, bovine beta-lactoglobulin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1482, 136–148.
- Kontopidis, G., Holt, C. & Sawyer, L. (2004). Invited review: Beta-lactoglobulin: binding properties, structure, and function. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 785–796.
- Uhrínová, S., Smith, M. H., Jameson, G. B., Uhrín, D., Sawyer, L. & Barlow, P. N. (2000). Structural changes accompanying pH-induced dissociation of the betalactoglobulin dimer. *Biochemistry*, **39**, 3565–3574.
- D'Alfonso, L., Collini, M. & Baldini, G. (1999). Evidence of heterogeneous 1-anilinonaphthalene-8sulfonate binding to beta-lactoglobulin from fluorescence spectroscopy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1432, 194–202.
- Collini, M., D'Alfonso, L. & Baldini, G. (2000). New insight on beta-lactoglobulin binding sites by 1anilinonaphthalene-8-sulfonate fluorescence decay. *Protein Sci.* 9, 1968–1974; URL http://dx.doi.org/10. 1110/ps.9.10.1968.
- 87. Carrotta, R., Bauer, R., Waninge, R. & Rischel, C. (2001). Conformational characterization of oligomeric

intermediates and aggregates in beta-lactoglobulin heat aggregation. *Protein Sci.* **10**, 1312–1318.

- Hamada, D. & Dobson, C. M. (2002). A kinetic study of beta-lactoglobulin amyloid fibril formation promoted by urea. *Protein Sci.* 11, 2417–2426.
- Sagis, L. M. C., Veerman, C. & van der Linden, E. (2004). Mesoscopic properties of semiflexible amyloid fibrils. *Langmuir*, **20**, 924–927.
- Hamada, D., Tanaka, T., Tartaglia, G. G., Pawar, A., Vendruscolo, M., Kawamura, M. *et al.* (2009). Competition between folding, native-state dimerisation and amyloid aggregation in beta-lactoglobulin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **386**, 878–890; URL http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jmb.2008.12.038.
- 91. Scheibel, T., Bloom, J. & Lindquist, S. L. (2003). The elongation of yeast prion fibers involves separable

steps of association and conversion. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **101**, 2287–2292.

- 92. Liu, Z., Li, Z., Zhou, H., Wei, G., Song, Y. & Wang, L. (2005). Immobilization and condensation of DNA with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane studied by atomic force microscopy. J. Microsc. 218, 233–239; URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.2005. 01482.x.
- 93. Schalkoff, R. J. (1989). pp. 179, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.
- 94. Kullback, S. & Leibler, R. A. (1951). On information and sufficiency. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 22, 79.
- Lee, C. -C., Nayak, A., Sethuraman, A., Belfort, G. & McRae, G. J. (2007). A three-stage kinetic model of amyloid fibrillation. *Biophys. J.* 92, 3448–3458.
- 96. Hill, T. L. (1987). pp. 93, Springer, New York, NY.